

# Land Cover Map of the Kuparuk River Basin, Alaska

## General Information

This document was transcribed from the original metadata, dated 01-May-1997. Minor edits were made to the document to correct several errors that were present in table 2.

**Authors:** N.A. Auerbach(1), D.A. Walker(1), and J.G. Bockheim(2)

**Affiliation:** (1)Tundra Ecosystem Analysis and Mapping Laboratory  
Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research,  
University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303-0450, USA.

(2)Department of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin,  
Madison, WI 53706, USA.

**Funding:** Arctic System Science (ARCSS) Land-Atmosphere-Ice  
Interactions (LAI) Flux Study, National Science Foundation,  
Grant No. DPP-9214959.

**Final date of map:** April 1997

**Original metadata file date:** 1 May 1997

**Metadata transcribed:** 29 November 2004. Alaska Geobotany Center. <http://www.geobotany.uaf.edu/>

## Map Basics:

- 1) NAD27 datum (Clarke 1866 ellipsoid)
- 2) UTM Zone 6 projection
- 3) 50 m pixels
- 4) 2226 columns by 4722 rows
- 5) lower left corner:  $x = 344000\text{m E}$ ,  $y = 7590300\text{m N}$

## Geometric Rectification:

- 1) Cubic Convolution resampling
- 2) 2nd order polynomial registration.
- 3) RMSE error of 57.4 m based on 50 m pixels

## Map Units:

- (1) Barrens
- (2) Moist nonacidic tundra
- (3) Moist acidic tundra
- (4) Shrublands
- (5) Wet tundra
- (6) Water
- (7) Clouds and ice
- (8) Shadows

## MAP EXPLANATION

This map was prepared for studies in the Kuparuk River Basin in northern Alaska which are part of several National Science Foundation projects, including the Arctic System Science Flux Study and the Long-Term Ecological Research program.

## Satellite Mosaic:

To expedite image processing, digital spectral data for a rectangular region encompassing the Kuparuk River watershed were extracted from an existing mosaic of Landsat Multi-Spectral Scanner (MSS) frames. The entire mosaic covers the Central Arctic Management Area (CAMA) and Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR),

Northeast Alaska, and was produced by the National Mapping Division, U.S. Geological Survey, EROS Data Center, Sioux Falls, SD. Images for the mosaic were acquired during snow-free growing seasons between 14 August 1976 through 2 August 1985. Due to prevalent cloud cover over the North Slope during most growing seasons, single time period (e.g., one week) mosaics of imagery from sun-synchronous satellites are generally not feasible. The mosaic (80-m nominal spatial resolution) was resampled to 50-m pixels, and geometrically corrected using cubic-convolution interpolation by means of a second-order polynomial registration, with a resultant RMSE of 57.4 m.

## Mapping:

The general land cover types for this map were derived by classification of the MSS image. An IsoData unsupervised classification approach was implemented for land cover analysis, and was based on input of the green, red, and infrared spectral bands of the MSS image. Forty cluster classes were initially generated and then aggregated into eight land cover classes. Geobotanical maps and earlier Landsat-derived maps of the region were used for supplementary information to interpret the spectral classes [Walker et al., 1982; 1989; Walker, 1985; Walker and Acevedo, 1987; Walker and Walker, 1991; 1996; Walker et al., 1996]. Select stratification by land units refined the classification. For display purposes, the map was smoothed, with filtering based on the majority of contiguous neighboring cells. Digital data made available to investigators were not filtered.

## Legend:

Vegetation units are groupings of finer-level units mapped at numerous sites within the basin [Walker et al., 1994; 1996]. Soil units were derived from field reconnaissance, detailed examination of soils at 12 flux tower sites, and a review of the literature relating soil taxa to vegetation types along the North Slope. The legend includes soil subgroups from the current Soil Taxonomy [Soil Survey Staff, 1975; 1994] and soil great groups from the proposed soil order dealing with permafrost-affected soils, the Gelisols [Bockheim et al., 1994]. A summary of map unit areas for the entire map and for within the watershed is shown in Table 1.

**TABLE 1.** Area summary of land cover classes for the entire map and for the watershed only.

Land Cover Class	Entire Map		Watershed Only	
	%	hectares	%	hectares
Barren	3.8	100,138	1.4	12,986
MNT	38.4	1,008,082	45.2	416,264
MAT	18.5	486,510	24.5	224,983
Shrublands	18.7	492,149	17.6	162,043
Wet tundra	7.9	207,468	6.2	57,404
Water	11.1	290,944	4.8	44,479
Clouds/ice	0.2	4,172	<0.1	302
Shadows	1.5	38,331	0.2	1,682
Total	100.0	2,627,793	100.0	920,143

## Map Accuracy:

An accuracy assessment was done on the preliminary land cover map [Muller et al., 1998] and map units were estimated to have the accuracy shown in Table 2. Based on information obtained in the accuracy assessment, the distinction between nonacidic and acidic tundra was further refined for the final land cover map.

**TABLE 2.** Error matrix for accuracy assessment of preliminary land cover map (from Muller et al., 1998). Accuracy of moist nonacidic tundra and moist acidic tundra were likely improved by subsequent refinements made to the map.

Preliminary Map Land Cover	Reference Data							User Acc. (%)
	Barrens	MNT	MAT	Shrub	Wet	Water	Total	
Barrens	<b>11</b>	.	.	.	.	.	11	<b>100.0</b>
MNT	.	<b>51</b>	.	.	6	.	57	<b>89.5</b>
MAT	.	12	<b>38</b>	1	.	.	51	<b>74.5</b>
Shrublands	.	.	2	<b>17</b>	.	.	19	<b>89.5</b>
Wet tundra	.	2	.	.	<b>14</b>	.	16	<b>87.5</b>
Water	.	.	.	.	.	<b>24</b>	24	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Total</b>	11	65	40	18	20	24	<b>178</b>	
Prod. Acc. (%)	100.0	78.5	95.0	94.4	70.0	100.0		

Overall Accuracy of map: P = 87.08% (95% confidence limits: L1 = 82.07% L2 = 91.95%)

Without occurrence of chance agreement: Te = 84.49% (95% confidence limits: L1 = 78.73% L2 = 90.25%)

## REFERENCES

- Bockheim, J.G., C.L. Ping, J.P. Moore, and J.M. Kimble, Gelisols: a new proposed order for permafrost-affected soils, in Proceedings of the Meeting on the Classification, Correlation, and Management of Permafrost-Affected Soils, edited by J.M. Kimble, and R.J. Ahrens, July 1993, pp. 25-44, USDA-SCS, National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, NE, 1994.
- Gallant, A.L., E.F. Binnian, J.M. Omernik, and M.B. Shasby, Ecoregions of Alaska, USGS Prof. Paper 1567, 73 pp., US Gov. Print. Office, Washington, DC, 1995.
- Muller, S.V., D.A. Walker, F.E. Nelson, N.A. Auerbach, J.G. Bockheim, S. Guyer and D. Sherba, Accuracy assessment of a land-cover map of the Kuparuk River basin, Alaska: Considerations for remote regions, Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sensing, 64(6), 619-628, 1998.
- Soil Survey Staff, Soil Taxonomy: A Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Surveys, USDA-SCS, Agric. Handb. 436, 754 pp., US Gov. Print. Office, Washington, DC, 1975.
- Soil Survey Staff, Keys to Soil Taxonomy. (6th ed.), USDA-SCS, National Soil Survey Laboratory, Lincoln, NE, 1994.
- Walker, D.A., Terrain and vegetation types of the Sagavanirktok Quadrangle, Alaska, NASA-Ames consortium agreement no. NCA2-OR170-303 final report, 65 pp., 1985.
- Walker, D.A., and W. Acevedo, Vegetation and a Landsat-derived land cover map of the Beechey Point Quadrangle, Arctic Coastal Plain, Alaska, CRREL Report 87-5, 63 pp., US Army Cold Regions Engineering and Research Laboratory, Hanover, NH, 1987.
- Walker, D.A., and M.D. Walker, History and pattern of disturbance in Alaskan arctic ecosystems: A hierarchical approach to analyzing landscape change, J. Appl. Ecol., 28, 244-276, 1991.
- Walker, D.A., and M.D. Walker, Terrain and vegetation of the Imnavait Creek watershed, in Landscape Function and Disturbance in Arctic Tundra, Ecological Studies, Vol. 120, edited by J.F. Reynolds, and J.D. Tenhunen, pp. 73-108, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- Walker, D.A., N.A. Auerbach, L.R. Lestak, S.V. Muller and M.D. Walker, A hierarchic GIS for studies of process, pattern, and scale in arctic ecosystems: The Arctic System Flux Study, Kuparuk River Basin, Alaska, poster

presented at the Arctic System Science All-Hands Workshop, Snowbird, Utah, 30 April-3 May 1996, and the Second Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Mapping Workshop, Arendal, Norway, 18-24 May 1996.

Walker, D.A., K.R. Everett, W. Acevedo, L. Gaydos, J. Brown, and P.J. Webber, Landsat-assisted environmental mapping in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska, CRREL Rep. 82-37, 59 pp., US Army Cold Regions Res. and Eng. Lab., Hanover, NH, 1982.

Walker, M.D., D.A. Walker, and N.A. Auerbach, Plant communities of a tussock tundra landscape, Brooks Range foothills, Alaska, *J. Veg. Sci.*, 5, 843-866, 1994.

Walker, M.D., D.A. Walker, and K.R. Everett, Wetland soils and vegetation, Arctic Foothills, Alaska, Biol. Rep. 89-7, 89 pp., US Fish Wildl. Serv. Res. Devel., Washington, DC, 1989.

## **Land cover classes (code): common habitats**

### **Barrens (1):**

1. Lichen-covered, and partially vegetated siliceous rocks in foothills and mountains
2. Dry partially vegetated alpine tundra
3. Limestone bedrock
4. Barren and partially vegetated river alluvium
5. Barren coastal mud flats
6. Dunes
7. Roads and gravel pads

### **Moist nonacidic tundra (2):**

1. Moist nonacidic hillslopes and moderately well-drained surfaces with pH  $\approx$  5.5
2. Dry nonacidic river terraces and gravelly well-drained slopes
3. Dry acidic tundra on hill crests, moraines and kames
4. Nonsorted-circle and -stripe complexes on the coastal plain and in the foothills
5. Moist/wet patterned-ground complexes [e.g. low-centered polygon complexes], especially on the coastal plain, with more than 50% moist nonacidic tundra

### **Moist acidic tundra (3):**

1. Moist acidic hillslopes and moderately drained terrain with pH < 5.5

### **Shrublands (4):**

1. Riparian shrublands along rivers
2. Watertracks and shrublands in basins in foothills
3. Tussock tundra dominated by shrubs
4. Shrublands on south-facing slopes

### **Wet tundra (5):**

1. Rich fens on coastal plain, along rivers, and foothill basins
2. Poor fens in foothills
3. Wet/moist patterned-ground complexes (e.g. ice-wedge polygon complexes) with >50% wet tundra

### **Water (6):**

1. Water
2. Marshes and aquatic vegetation with more than 50% standing water

### **Clouds and ice (7):**

1. Aufeis along braided rivers
2. Clouds mainly at high elevations

### **Shadows (8):**

1. Mostly steep terrain in the mountains
2. Some cloud shadows

## Land cover classes (code): Dominant plant communities

### Barrens (1):

1. *Cetraria nigricans*-*Rhizocarpon geographicum*
2. *Selaginello sibiricae*-*Dryadetum octopetalae*
3. *Saxifraga oppositifolia*-*Saxifraga eschscholtzii*
4. *Epilobium latifolium*-*Castilleja caudata*
5. *Carex subspathacea*-*Puccinellia phryganodes*
6. *Elymus arenarius*-*Artemisia borealis*
7. Unvegetated

### Moist nonacidic tundra (2):

1. *Dryado integrifolia*-*Caricetum bigelowii*, *Astragalus umbellatus*-*Dryas integrifolia*
2. *Oxytropis bryophila*-*Dryas integrifolia*
3. *Selaginello sibiricae*-*Dryadetum octopetalae*, *Salici phlybophyllae*-*Arctoetum alpinae*
4. *Juncus biglumis*-*Saxifraga oppositifolia*, *Astragalus umbellatus*-*Dryas integrifolia*
5. *Dryado integrifolia*-*Caricetum bigelowii*, *Carex aquatilis*-*Eriophorum angustifolium*, *Carex aquatilis*-*C. chordorrhiza*

### Moist acidic tundra (3):

1. *Sphagno*-*Eriophoretum vaginati*

### Shrublands (4):

1. *Salix alaxensis*-*S. lanata*, *Betula nana*, *Salix pulchra*-*Calamagrostis canadensis*
2. *Eriophorum angustifolium*-*Salix pulchra*
3. *Sphagno*-*Eriophoretum vaginati*
4. *Salix glauca*-*Alnus crispa*

### Wet tundra (5):

1. *Carex aquatilis*-*Eriophorum angustifolium*, *C. aquatilis*-*C. chordorrhiza*
2. *Sphagnum orientale*-*Eriophorum scheuchzeri*, *Carex aquatilis*-*Sphagnum lenense*, *Sphagnum lenense*-*Salix fuscescens*
3. *Carex aquatilis*-*Eriophorum angustifolium*, *C. aquatilis*-*C. chordorrhiza*, *Dryado integrifolia*-*Caricetum bigelowii*

### Water (6):

1. Unvegetated
2. *Carex aquatilis*, *Hippuris vulgaris*-*Arctophila fulva*, unvegetated

### Clouds and ice (7):

1. Unvegetated
2. Mostly alpine vegetation types, barrens

### Shadows (8):

1. Primarily barrens, also snowbeds *Carici microchaetae*-*Cassiopetum tetragonae* and *Dryas integrifolia*-*Cassiopetum tetragona*

# Land Cover Classes (code): Dominant soils--US Soil Taxonomy [Gelisol order]

## Barrens (1):

1. Nonsoils, Lithic Cryorthents [nonsoils, Lithic Haplastatels]
2. Pergelic Cryorthents, P. Cryumbrepts [Haplaturbels, Haplastatels, Humistatels, Humiturbels]
3. Nonsoils, Lithic Cryorthents [nonsoils, Lithic Haplastatels]
4. Pergelic Cryorthents [not Gelisols, some Haplastatels]
5. Histic Pergelic Cryaquepts, P. Cryaquepts nonacid [Aquistatels]
6. Pergelic Cryopsammets [Psammostatels]
7. Nonsoils

## Moist nonacidic tundra (2):

1. Histic Pergelic and P. Cryaquepts (nonacid), P. and Histic P. Cryaquolls, P. Cryoborolls [Histiturbels, Hististatels, Aquaturbels, Aquastatels]
2. Pergelic Cryorthents [not Gelisols, some Haplastatels]
3. Pergelic Cryumbrepts, P. Cryochrepts [Umbraturbels, Ochriturbels]
4. Ruptic Pergelic Cryaquepts (nonacid), R. Pergelic Cryaquolls [Humiturbels, Haplaturbels, Humistatels, Haplastatels]
5. Pergelic Cryaquolls, P. and Histic P. Cryaquepts, P. Cryohemists and P. Cryosaprists (euc) [Aquaturbels, Histiturbels, Aquastatels, Hististatels, Hemistels and Sapristsels (euc)]

## Moist acidic tundra (3):

1. Pergelic and Histic P. Cryaquepts [Aquaturbels, Histiturbels, Aquastatels, Hististatels]

## Shrublands (4):

1. Pergelic Cryorthents, P. Cryoborolls, P. Cryaquepts [not Gelisols, some Haplastatels, Humistatels, Aquastatels]
2. Histic Pergelic and P. Cryaquepts (nonacid) [Aquastatels]
3. Pergelic and Histic P. Cryaquepts [Aquaturbels, Histiturbels, Aquastatels, Hististatels]
4. Haplastatels, Humistatels

## Wet tundra (5):

1. Pergelic and Histic P. Cryaquepts (nonacid), P. Cryaquolls; P. Cryohemists, P. Cryosaprists, and P. Cryofibrists (euc) [Aquastatels and Hististatels (nonacid); Hemistels, Sapristsels, and Fibristsels (euc)]
2. Pergelic and Histic P. Cryaquepts (nonacid), P. Cryaquolls, P. Cryohemists, P. Cryosaprists, and P. Cryofibrists (euc) [Histiturbels, Aquaturbels, Hististatels, Aquastatels, Hemistels, Sapristsels and Fibristsels (euc)]
3. P. Cryofibrists, Pergelic Cryohemists, and P. Cryosaprists (euc); P. and Histic P. Cryaquolls, P. and Histic P. Cryaquepts [Fibristsels, Hemistels, and Sapristsels (euc) , Hististatels, Histiturbels, Aquastatels, Histiturbels, Aquaturbels]

## Water (6):

1. Nonsoil
2. Nonsoil, some Fibristsels, Hististatels, Aquastatels

## Clouds and ice (7):

1. Nonsoils
2. Nonsoils, Lithic Cryorthents [nonsoils, Lithic Haplastatels]

## Shadows (8):

1. Nonsoils, Lithic Cryorthents [nonsoils, Lithic Haplastatels]

## Notes:

- 1) Data were geometrically and radiometrically corrected and scenes were mosaicked prior to classification.
- 2) Shadow areas: The preliminary map had areas classified as water that are actually shadows. Mountain areas were stratified according to a landunits map. Those cells classified as water (clusters 1,2,3,5,7 in 40 cluster classification) in the mountain units were reclassified as shadow. Cells in non-mountainous areas that were obviously cloud shadows rather than water were changed to shadows as well.
- 3) Some confusion existed in the preliminary map between wetlands and shrublands in the coastal plain. Thaw lake coastal plain areas were stratified according to landunits map. Cells of cluster 14 of the 40 cluster classification previously classified as wetlands were reclassified as shrublands in all units other than the thaw lake coastal plain.
- 4) Coastline was clipped according to landunits map. Island areas were retained, sea ice areas were removed.
- 5) Cloudy areas over the Kuparuk headwaters were patched with similarly-classified SPOT data.
- 6) The map accuracy assessment in summer of 1996 noted some error associated with the delineation between moist nonacidic tundra and moist acidic tundra at the major ecotone of the two types. With this information, we were better able to spectrally define the two units, resulting in some reclassification of acidic and nonacidic tundra on the final map.
- 6) For display purposes, the map was smoothed using a majority filter on the 8 contiguous neighboring cells for 10 iterations. The data files available are the original, unfiltered data.

## File available in two formats:

### 1) ASCII

File name: landcover.txt

File format: ASCII

Description: The ASCII file consists of header information containing a set of keywords, followed by cell values in row-major order. The file format is:

```
ncols      2226
nrows      4722
xllcorner  344000
yllcorner  590300
cellsize   50
NODATA_value -9999
row 1 cell values
row 2 cell values
.
.
.
row 4722 cell values
```

### 2) ArcInfo Export File

Arc: describe landcover

Description of Grid LANDCOVER

```
Cell Size = 50.000          Data Type: Integer
Number of Rows = 4722      Number of Values = 8
```



Number of Columns = 2226      Attribute Data (bytes) = 8

**BOUNDARY**

**STATISTICS**

Xmin = 344000.000	Minimum Value = 1.000
Xmax = 455300.000	Maximum Value = 8.000
Ymin = 590300.000	Mean = 3.297
Ymax = 826400.000	Standard Deviation = 1.529

**COORDINATE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION**

Projection	UTM		
Zone	6		
Datum	NAD27		
Units	METERS	Spheroid	CLARKE1866
Xshift	0.00000	Yshift	-7000000.00